

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/001,245   | 11/15/2001  | Jens Holm            | 4305/1H942-US2          | 9286             |
| 7590 04/14/2006  DARBY & DARBY P.C. 805 Third Avenue |             |                      | EXAMINER .              |                  |
|  |             |                      | NOLAN, PATRICK J        |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| New York, NY 10022                                   |             |                      | 1644                    | THE EXTENSE      |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number      | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination |  |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
|                         | 10/001,245              | HOLM ET AL.                             |  |
|                         |                         | Art Unit                                |  |
|                         | Christina Chan          | 1644                                    |  |
| Document Code - AP.PRE. | DEC                     |   |  |

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 3/16/06.  |  |
|--|--|
| <ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be reason(s):</li> </ol>   | neld for the following   |
| <ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Bri</li> <li>☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate.</li> <li>☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>   | ef Request.  |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the N the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been receipt  | otice of Appeal or from eived.   |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Br held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual iss is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time pe brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the t appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decord the notice of appeal, as applicable. | sue for appeal. Applicant<br>eriod for filing an appeal<br>two-month time period<br>ime period for filing of the |
| The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdr Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further act applicant at this time.  |  |
| 4. <b>☐ Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdra action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.  | wn and a new Office  |
| All participants:  (1) Patrick Nolan. Pure Mr. (3) Christina Chan, SPE, 16   | han  |
| (2) <u>Long Le, SPE 1641</u> . (4)   |  |